

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,349	12/05/2000	TONY LARSSON	040000-845	6709	
7590 03/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Ronald L. Gru	dziecki	NG, CHRISTINE Y			
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	A 22313-1404		2663		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/25/2004	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/729,349	LARSSON ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christine Ng	2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		-			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2000</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,9-16 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,8,17-19 and 24-26 is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. to.				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. 	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Inity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-5 and 8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has two paragraphs. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The text in lines 4-7 of claim 23 are confusing because it is unclear what the "second one of the nodes" in line 6 has to do with the node that is in common with the group of first nodes and group of second nodes.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,349 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,691,173 by Morris et al.

Referring to claims 1 and 9, Morris et al disclose in Figures 1 and 2 a digital communication system comprising nodes, the nodes including a central node (Figure 1, M2) and at least two peripheral nodes (Figure 1, S3-S5), the central node (Figure 1, M2) comprising all means for the communication in the system and a memory (Figure 2, Element 130) for storing information related to the system itself and/or the individual nodes (Figure 1, S3-S5), the nodes each comprising a transmitter and a receiver (transceiver; Figure 2, Element 106), and information only being directly transferred between the central node (Figure 1, M2) and each of the peripheral nodes (Figure 1, S3-S5). The system also comprises control means (internet management broadcast) in the central node (Figure 1, M2) for transferring information stored in the memory means (Figure 2, Element 130) related to the system and/or the individual nodes to every peripheral node. Based on advertisements from each of the slaves (Figure 1, S3-S5) in a piconet, the master (Figure 1, M2) of the piconet stores information relating to slaves

Art Unit: 2663

in a network resources table (Figure 2, Element 130). The master (Figure 1, M2) then issues an internet management broadcast to the slaves (Figure 1, S3-S5) describing the slaves. Refer to Column 3, line 49 to Column 4, line 8; Column 6, lines 15-60 and Column 7, line 32 to Column 8, line 19.

Referring to claim 2, Morris et al disclose in Figure 2 that each peripheral node comprises means (Element 130) for storing the information. "Within slaves nodes, a network resources table 130 is updated in response to internet management broadcasts by the master node of the applicable piconet" (Column 6, lines 43-45).

Referring to claims 3 and 14, Morris et al disclose that the direct transferring of information is made wireless, in particular using short range radio waves. The invention of Morris et al is implemented using the Bluetooth wireless communications protocol, which uses short range radio waves. Refer to Column 1, lines 18-25 and Column 3, lines 14-22.

Referring to claims 4 and 12, Morris et al disclose in Figure 1 that the controls means (internet management broadcast) in the central node (M2) are arranged to transfer address information comprising at least one address of each of the peripheral nodes (Elements S3-S5). Each slave node "transmits an advertisement identifying its address and the services if offers" (Column 3, lines 56-57). The advertisements of all slaves are compiled in an internet management broadcast by the master node. Refer to Column 4, lines 3-8.

Referring to claims 5 and 13, Morris et al disclose in Figure 1 that the control means (internet management broadcast) in the central node (M2) are arranged to

Art Unit: 2663

transfer compatibility related information. Each slave node "transmits an advertisement identifying its address and the services if offers" (Column 3, lines 56-57). Services include "the capability of a given slave node to relay message information to and from one or more outside networks" and "other networks within which the slave node is capable of communication and the services offered by each" (Column 3, line 63 to Column 4, line 2). The advertisements of all slaves are compiled in an internet management broadcast by the master node. Refer to Column 4, lines 3-8.

Referring to claims 6 and 15, Morris et al disclose that the system is a Bluetooth piconet. The invention of Morris et al is implemented using the Bluetooth wireless communications protocol, which "contemplates the grouping of physically proximate wireless nodes into piconets". Refer to Column 3, lines 14-22.

Referring to claim 16, Morris et al discloses that the transferring of the information is performed using a Bluetooth broadcast mechanism. "Based upon the advertisements received from each slave node, the master node of the piconet issues an internet management broadcast" (Column 4, lines 3-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2663

9. Claims 10, 11 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,691,173 to Morris et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,768,531 to Lin.

Referring to claim 10, Morris et al does not disclose that part of the information transferred to every peripheral node is derived from information conveyed from the peripheral nodes to the central node when requested by the central node.

Lin discloses in Figure 2A a communication system with a central node (AP. Element 201) that contains a connection list (Element 201a) storing a list of the addresses of all wireless slave nodes (Elements 203 and 204) that it serves. The central node (AP, Element 201) periodically broadcast a copy of the connection list (Element 201a) to each of the wireless stations (Elements 203 and 204) so that the wireless stations can each contain a copy of the connection list (Elements 203a and 204a). Refer to Column 4, lines 3-18 and lines 54-59. Lin discloses that part of the information (connection list, Element 201a) transferred to every peripheral node (Elements 203 and 204) is derived from information conveyed from the peripheral nodes (Elements 203 and 204) to the central node (AP, Element 201) when requested by the central node (AP, Element 201). Each AP may "periodically transmit one or more inquiry messages to the wireless stations and wait for responses". The AP (Element 201) then updates in connection list (Element 201a) depending on whether or not a wireless station responds to the inquiry message, which indicates whether or not the wireless station is still within the AP's service area. Refer to Column 5, lines 24-31. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

peripheral node is derived from information conveyed from the peripheral nodes to the central node when requested by the central node; the motivation being that the central node needs to send out requests to slave nodes to determine which slave nodes are still within its service area so that it can update its connection list.

Referring to claim 11, Morris et al does not disclose that part of the information transferred to every peripheral node is derived from information conveyed from the peripheral nodes to the central node initiated by the peripheral nodes in particular triggered by an event in the respective peripheral node.

Lin discloses in Figure 2A that part of the information transferred to every peripheral node (Elements 203 and 204) is derived from information conveyed from the peripheral nodes (Elements 203 and 204) to the central node (AP, Element 201) initiated by the peripheral nodes (Elements 203 and 204) in particular triggered by an event (keep alive message) in the respective peripheral node. A "keep alive message is periodically transmitted from each wireless station to each AP in order to keep the AP's connection list up to date". This way, the central node can know which wireless stations are still within its service area. Refer to Column 5, lines 20-24. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that part of the information transferred to every peripheral node is derived from information conveyed from the peripheral nodes to the central node initiated by the peripheral nodes in particular triggered by an event in the respective peripheral node; the motivation being that there needs to be some sort of mechanism that allows

wireless stations to notify their serving central node whether or not they are still within the central node's serving area, thereby allowing the central node to update its connection list.

Referring to claim 20, Morris et al do not disclose that the transferring of the information is made when a new peripheral node joins the digital communication system.

Lin discloses in Figure 2A that the transferring of the information (connection list, Element 201a) is made when a new peripheral node joins the digital communication system. When a message from "a wireless station newly entering the service area" is received, the AP (Element 201) adds the address of the newly entered wireless station to the connection list (Element 201a) and then sends the updated connection list (Element 201a) all the slave stations (Elements 203 and 204). Refer to Column 6, lines 51-65. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that the transferring of the information is made when a new peripheral node joins the digital communication system; the motivation being that that this allows the wireless stations within a service area to receive an updated account of which stations are newly added to the same service area, thereby facilitating peer-to-peer communication which is only possible if slave nodes are within the same service area. Refer to Column 7, lines 54-58.

Referring to claim 21, Morris et al do not disclose that when a new peripheral node joins the system, the part of the information related to all the other peripheral nodes is transferred from the central node to the new peripheral node.

Lin discloses in Figure 2A that when a new peripheral node joins that system, the part of the information (connection list, Element 201a) related to all the other peripheral nodes is transferred from the central node (AP, Element 201) to the new peripheral node. When a message from "a wireless station newly entering the service area" is received, the AP (Element 201) adds to address of the newly entered wireless station to the connection list (Element 201a) and then sends the updated connection list (Element 201a) all the slave stations (Elements 203 and 204) that are under its service area, including the newly entered slave station. Refer to Column 6, lines 51-65. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that when a new peripheral node joins the system, the part of the information related to all the other peripheral nodes is transferred from the central node to the new peripheral node; the motivation being that that this allows the newly entered slave node to receive an updated account of which stations are also within the same service area, thereby facilitating peer-to-peer communication which is only possible if slave nodes are within the same service area. Refer to Column 7, lines 54-58.

Referring to claim 22, Morris et al do not disclose that a message is transferred from the central node to all the peripheral nodes when one of the peripheral nodes has left the system.

Lin discloses in Figure 2A that a message (updated connection list, Element 201a) is transferred from the central node (AP, Element 201) to all the peripheral nodes (Elements 203 and 204) when one of the peripheral nodes has left the system. When "a wireless station moves out of service area, it may leave "gracefully" by sending a

Art Unit: 2663

disconnect message to the AP to which it is currently connected". The AP (Element 201) thus removes the address of the disconnected wireless station from its connection list (Element 201a) and "the updated connection list is transmitted to all wireless stations". Refer to Column 6, lines 40-50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that a message is transferred from the central node to all the peripheral nodes when one of the peripheral nodes has left the system; the motivation being that this allows the wireless stations within a service area to receive an updated account of which stations are still within the same service area, thereby facilitating peer-to-peer communication which is only possible if slave nodes are within the same service area. Refer to Column 7, lines 54-58. This situation is common since wireless stations can easily "roam and move into another AP service area" (Column 6, lines 43-44).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 7, 8, 17-19 and 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Ng whose telephone number is (703) 305-8395. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nguyen Chau can be reached on (703) 308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Ng \sim March 20, 2004

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Charle T. Nfinger

Page 11